



Universidad Nacional de Catamarca - Facultad de Humanidades
Instituto de Investigación en Teorías del Arte y Estética
Revista Rigel – ISSN 2525-1945.

Equivalence (Kafā'ah) in the Marriage Contract: Legal Rulings, Classical Attributes, and Contemporary Manifestations

Dr. Abdeldjalil Ouled Hammadi

Laboratory of Sharia and Heritage Studies, University of Ahmed Draia, Adrar, Algeria

Email: adjalil_hammadi@univ-adrar.edu.dz

Received: 19/04/2026 accepted: 10/06/2026 published: 23/09/2026

Abstract

This study elucidates the Islamic jurisprudence (fiqh) governing marital equivalence (Kafā'ah) in the marriage contract, analyzing its legal status, classical attributes, and prominent contemporary manifestations through a comparative jurisprudential inquiry. The research is organized into three analytical axes: the first examines the legal status of stipulating Kafā'ah among jurists—specifically whether it constitutes a condition of bindingness (sharṭ luzūm) or a condition of validity (sharṭ ṣiḥḥah); the second investigates the substantive attributes historically considered in establishing equivalence; and the third explores contemporary applications in light of evolving societal customs ('urf). Employing inductive, analytical, and comparative methodologies, the study contrasts classical jurisprudential arguments, authenticating prophetic traditions (aḥādīth) and verifying legal derivations (takhrij). The findings indicate that stipulating Kafā'ah is intrinsically linked to realizing the higher teleological objectives of marriage (Maqāṣid al-Nikāḥ)—primarily cultivating psychological tranquility (sakīnah), mutual affection (mawaddah), and domestic stability, while preventing marital discord. While the majority of jurists (Jumhūr) affirm Kafā'ah, minority dissenting scholars, including al-Karkhī, al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, and Ibn Ḥazm, reject its prerequisite status on the grounds of universal Islamic egalitarianism. Furthermore, the evolution of contemporary customary practices has introduced vital modern dimensions of equivalence, notably premarital medical fitness, intellectual and cultural compatibility, and age and educational parity. Provided they remain disciplined by overarching Sharī'ah principles, these modern dimensions serve the preservation of family cohesion in contemporary Muslim societies.

Keywords: *Kafā'ah, marital equivalence, marriage contract, Islamic jurisprudence, custom ('urf), contemporary marital compatibility, Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah.*

Introduction

Praise be to Allah, the Lord of all creation, and may peace and blessings be upon the most noble of prophets and messengers, our master Muhammad, and upon his family and companions collectively. To proceed:

The preservation, permanence, and enduring continuity of the marriage contract represent fundamental teleological objectives (Maqāṣid Shar'iyyah) within Islamic jurisprudence. This objective is woven throughout the entire fabric of legal rulings governing marital life: commencing from the formal betrothal (khiṭbah) and its etiquette, proceeding through the essential pillars (arkān) and stipulations (shurūṭ) of the solemn marital covenant (mīthāq ghalīz), and extending to the remedial mechanisms established to address domestic discord (shiqāq) and marital recalcitrance (nushūz).

Among the paramount instruments devised by Islamic law to ensure familial stability and domestic harmony is the doctrine of marital equivalence (Kafā'ah) between prospective spouses. Kafā'ah establishes that the suitor should be reasonably commensurate with the prospective bride in specific socioeconomic and personal domains, thereby fostering mutual understanding, emotional closeness, and parity. This legal safeguard protects the nascent household from fundamental disparities that could undermine marital stability and threaten family cohesion.

Classical Muslim jurists (Fuqahā') examined the jurisprudence of Kafā'ah extensively, documenting nuanced differences regarding its legal status and constitutive attributes. Because several attributes of Kafā'ah are anchored in evolving societal norms and customary conventions ('urf), it is imperative to analyze these attributes within our contemporary reality. Accordingly, this study examines: 'Equivalence (Kafā'ah) in the Marriage Contract: Legal Rulings, Classical Attributes, and Contemporary Manifestations.'

Problem Statement and Research Questions

The core research problem centers on interrogating how the classical jurisprudential doctrine of Kafā'ah adapts to contemporary societal realities without compromising foundational Sharī'ah imperatives. This problem is investigated through the following key questions:

- **1. Conceptual Definition:** What is the precise legal definition and teleological foundation of Kafā'ah in Islamic marital jurisprudence?
- **2. Jurisprudential Status:** What are the classical juristic opinions regarding the requirement of Kafā'ah—specifically whether it constitutes a condition of bindingness (sharṭ luzūm) or a condition of validity (sharṭ ṣiḥḥah)?

- **3. Substantive Attributes:** Which classical attributes (e.g., religious piety, lineage, solvency, profession, and freedom from defects) remain legally decisive?
- **4. Contemporary Manifestations:** What are the prominent contemporary expressions of Kafā'ah emerging from shifts in modern customary norms ('urf), such as medical fitness, intellectual-cultural convergence, and educational parity?

Research Methodology and Structural Organization

This research employs an inductive-descriptive methodology to gather classical jurisprudential texts, paired with a comparative-analytical approach to contrast opinions across the Sunni legal schools (Madhāhib). Canonical textual proofs (Qur'anic verses and Prophetic aḥādīth) are cited with theological precision and verified through hadith authentication frameworks. The study is structured into three primary inquiries (Maṭālib):

- **Section I (Al-Maṭlab al-Awwal):** The Legal Rulings Governing Kafā'ah in the Marriage Contract.
- **Section II (Al-Maṭlab al-Thānī):** The Substantive Attributes Historically Considered in Kafā'ah.
- **Section III (Al-Maṭlab al-Thālith):** Contemporary Manifestations of Marital Equivalence Under Evolving Customary Norms.

Section I: The Legal Ruling of Stipulating Kafā'ah in the Marriage Contract

Jurists have addressed the doctrinal status of stipulating Kafā'ah in marriage across three distinct scholarly positions: whether it constitutes a condition of bindingness (sharṭ luzūm), a condition of contractual validity (sharṭ ṣiḥḥah), or a non-binding societal consideration entirely devoid of formal contractual conditionality.

1. First Opinion: Kafā'ah as a Condition of Bindingness (Sharṭ Luzūm)

The overwhelming majority of Muslim jurists (al-Jumhūr)—encompassing the mainstream Hanafis, dominant Malikis, Shafi'is, and prominent Hanbali authorities—hold that Kafā'ah is a condition of bindingness (sharṭ luzūm), rather than a condition of validity (sharṭ ṣiḥḥah). Under this legal framing, if an adult, legally competent woman marries a non-equivalent man with her consent and the explicit consent of all her legal guardians (awliyā'), the marriage contract is sound, legally valid, and binding. However, if she is married to a non-equivalent man without the consent of her fully entitled agnatic guardians, or if her guardian marries her to an unequivalent man without her informed consent, the contract is legally valid yet non-binding (ghayr lāzim), granting the aggrieved party the legal right of rescission (faskh) through judicial arbitration.

The celebrated Maliki jurist Shihāb al-Dīn al-Qarāfī articulated the foundational rationale underpinning this position in Al-Dhakhīrah:

Juristic Rationale of the Majority (Al-Qarāfī, Al-Dhakhīrah)

"The underlying rationale for considering equivalence is that the primary objective sought through marriage is tranquility, mutual affection, and enduring love, pursuant to the words of Allah Almighty... A noble woman of established social standing does not find tranquility with an ignoble man; rather, such mismatched union serves as a direct catalyst for enmity, domestic strife, rancor, and enduring social disgrace across generations." (Al-Qarāfi, 1994, Vol. 4, p. 408)

The Majority substantiates their position using textual and rational proofs:

Textual Proof from the Holy Qur'an

وَمِنْ آيَاتِهِ أَنْ خَلَقْنَا لَكُمْ مِنْ أَنْفُسِكُمْ أَزْوَاجًا لِتَسْكُنُوا إِلَيْهَا وَجَعَلَ بَيْنَكُمْ مَوَدَّةً وَرَحْمَةً إِنَّ فِي ذَلِكَ لَآيَاتٍ لِقَوْمٍ يَعْقِلُونَ

"And of His signs is that He created for you from yourselves mates that you may find tranquility in them; and He placed between you affection and mercy. Indeed in that are signs for a people who give thought." (Surah Ar-Rūm, 30:21)

The deduction (wajh al-istidlāl), as explained by al-Qarāfi, lies in the reality that psychological tranquility (sakīnah) and reciprocal affection (mawaddah) cannot materialize if a woman of social stature is united with a suitor significantly beneath her station. The likely outcome of such profound disparity is familial rancor, social dishonor, and intergenerational strife. Because aligning with an ignoble spouse demeans her social standing while parity elevates it, Kafā'ah functions as an essential vehicle to secure this divine purpose.

Textual Proof from the Sunnah

The Majority cites the authentic tradition narrated by Mother of the Believers 'Ā'ishah (may Allah be pleased with her) regarding Barīrah:

Hadith of Barīrah (Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī & Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim)
'Ā'ishah narrated: "I purchased Barīrah, but her masters stipulated that her loyalty of enfranchisement (al-walā') should remain with them. I mentioned this to the Prophet (ﷺ), who replied: 'Emancipate her, for loyalty of enfranchisement belongs exclusively to the one who paid the price.' So I emancipated her. The Prophet (ﷺ) subsequently summoned her and gave her the choice concerning her husband. She remarked: 'Had he offered me such-and-such wealth, I would not remain with him!' and she chose to separate from him." (Al-Bukhārī, 1987, Hadith 5279; Muslim, 1998, Hadith 1504)

The legal deduction rests on the Prophet's (ﷺ) granting Barīrah the unilateral option of marital annulment (khiyār al-faskh) upon her emancipation. Prior to her manumission, her husband Mughīth was an enslaved man. Once she achieved freedom, he ceased to be her equivalent in legal and social status (freedom vs. servitude). Had Kafā'ah been entirely irrelevant, the Prophet (ﷺ) would not have granted her the legal power of annulment. As verified by al-Shawkānī (2005) in *Nayl al-Awṭār*, the soundest historical position is that her husband remained an enslaved laborer, establishing disparity in freedom.

Rational Deduction (Al-Dalīl al-'Aqlī)

Stipulating Kafā'ah fulfills the core Sharī'ah purpose of domestic tranquility while shielding the bride, her lineage, and her prospective offspring from social dishonor. A fundamental legal maxim dictates: 'Every legal contract that fails to realize the wisdom for which it was legislated is not sanctioned by the Lawgiver.' Hence, establishing Kafā'ah as a condition of bindingness balances the autonomy of consenting parties with familial protection.

2. Second Opinion: Kafā'ah as a Condition of Validity (Sharṭ Ṣiḥḥah)

A second juristic school maintains that Kafā'ah constitutes an indispensable condition of contractual validity (sharṭ ṣiḥḥah). Under this doctrine, any marriage solemnized between a woman and a non-equivalent man is void ab initio (bāṭil), even if both spouses and the legal guardian explicitly consent. This position is recorded in one narration from Imām Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and represents the formal verdicts of Sufyān al-Thawrī and 'Abd al-Malik ibn al-Mājjishūn among the early Malikis.

Proponents of this view substantiate their stance through the following narrations:

1. Hadith of Jābir: The Prophet (ﷺ) is reported to have said: "Women shall not be given in marriage except by their legitimate legal guardians, and they shall not be married except to their suitable equals (al-akfā'), and there shall be no dower lesser than ten dirhams." (Narrated by al-Dāraqūṭnī and al-Bayhaqī in *Al-Sunan al-Kubrā*, 2003, Vol. 7, p. 133).

2. Athar of Salmān al-Fārisī (I): Salmān (may Allah be pleased with him) addressed the Arabs: "You have been favored over us by two distinctions, O assembly of Arabs: your women are not to be wedded by us, nor do we lead you in ritual congregational prayer." (Narrated by 'Abd al-Razzāq in *Al-Muṣannaf*, Vol. 6, p. 151; al-Bayhaqī, 2003, Vol. 7, p. 134).

3. Athar of Salmān al-Fārisī (II): Abū Ishāq transmitted from Salmān that he stated: "The Messenger of Allah (ﷺ) forbade us from stepping forward to lead you in prayer or marrying your women." (Al-Bayhaqī, 2003, *Ma'rifat al-Sunan wa-al-Āthār*).

These authorities argue that explicit prohibitions in these texts indicate invalidity (al-nahyu yaqtaḍī al-fasād). Had Kafā'ah not been a condition of validity, the prohibition against unequal marriages would not have been framed in absolute contractual terms.

Critique and Refutation: The Majority of jurists challenged these proofs, demonstrating that the hadith of Jābir is classified as weak (ḍaʿīf) due to defects in its transmission chain (containing Mubashshir ibn ʿUbayd, who is rejected by hadith critics). Even if accepted for argument's sake, the prohibition denotes strong recommendation (nadb) rather than absolute invalidation, or applies specifically to compelling a virgin bride without her informed consent.

3. Third Opinion: Kafā'ah is Not a Contractual Condition

A third juristic school contends that Kafā'ah is entirely unconsidered in Islamic law and does not constitute a condition of validity or bindingness. This position was championed by the Hanafi jurist Abū al-Ḥasan al-Karkhī, the venerable Tābiʿī al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, and the prominent Zāhirī scholar Ibn Ḥazm al-Andalusī. They maintain that any Muslim male is inherently an equivalent match for any Muslim female, irrespective of lineage, financial standing, or profession.

Proponents of this egalitarian position substantiate their doctrine through explicit Qur'anic verses:

يَا أَيُّهَا النَّاسُ إِنَّا خَلَقْنَاكُمْ مِنْ ذَكَرٍ وَأُنْثَىٰ وَجَعَلْنَاكُمْ شُعُوبًا وَقَبَائِلَ لِتَعَارَفُوا إِنَّ أَكْرَمَكُمْ عِنْدَ اللَّهِ أَتْقَاكُمْ إِنَّ اللَّهَ عَلِيمٌ خَبِيرٌ

"O mankind, indeed We have created you from male and female and made you peoples and tribes that you may know one another. Indeed, the most noble of you in the sight of Allah is the most righteous of you. Indeed, Allah is Knowing and Acquainted." (Surah Al-Hujurat, 49:13)

إِنَّمَا الْمُؤْمِنُونَ إِخْوَةٌ فَأَصْلِحُوا بَيْنَ أَخَوَيْكُمْ وَاتَّقُوا اللَّهَ لَعَلَّكُمْ تُرْحَمُونَ

"The believers are but brothers, so make settlement between your brothers. And fear Allah that you may receive mercy." (Surah Al-Hujurat, 49:10)

وَالْمُؤْمِنُونَ وَالْمُؤْمِنَاتُ بَعْضُهُمْ أَوْلِيَاءُ بَعْضُهُمْ يَأْمُرُونَ بِالْمَعْرُوفِ وَيَنْهَوْنَ عَنِ الْمُنْكَرِ

"The believing men and believing women are allies of one another. They enjoin what is right and forbid what is wrong..." (Surah Al-Tawbah, 9:71)

فَاسْتَجَابَ لَهُمْ رَبُّهُمْ أَنِّي لَا أَضِيعُ عَمَلَكُمْ إِنِّي أَنَا رَبُّكُمْ مِّنْ ذَكَرٍ وَأُنْثَىٰ وَتِلْكَ أُمَّةٌ قَدْ خَلَتْ لَنَبْلُوَنَّكُمْ بِهَا مَا تَشَاءُونَ مِنَ الْمُنْكَرِ

"And their Lord responded to them, 'Never will I allow to be lost the work of [any] worker among you, whether male or female; you are of one another...' (Surah Āl ʿImrān, 3:195)

Deduction: These foundational verses establish absolute spiritual and social fraternity among believers. The Lawgiver explicitly dismantled pre-Islamic tribal superiority, restricting nobility exclusively to righteousness and God-consciousness (taqwā). As the eminent exegete Ibn Kathīr noted: 'All humanity shares equal noble origin through their earthen lineage to Adam and Eve; they differentiate themselves solely through religious obedience to Allah and devotion to His Messenger (ﷺ).' Conditioning marriage on worldly social tiers contradicts this divine principle.

They further cite the Farewell Sermon (Khuṭbat al-Wadāʿ):

The Prophet's Declaration of Human Equality (Musnad Aḥmad)
 "O people! Verily, your Lord is One, and your father is one. Behold, there is no superiority for an Arab over a non-Arab, nor for a non-Arab over an Arab, nor for a red-skinned person over a black-skinned person, nor for a black-skinned person over a red-skinned person—except through God-consciousness (Taqwā). Indeed, the most noble of you in the sight of Allah is the most righteous. Have I conveyed the message?" (Musnad Aḥmad, 1999, Vol. 38, p. 474, Hadith 23489; verified as Ṣaḥīḥ).

Furthermore, they cite concrete historical precedents established by the Prophet (ﷺ):

Marriage of Usāmah ibn Zayd: The Prophet (ﷺ) betrothed his freedman Usāmah ibn Zayd to Fāṭimah bint Qays, a noble Qurashite noblewoman from Banū Fihri (Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Hadith 1480).

Marriage of Al-Miqdād ibn al-Aswad: The Prophet (ﷺ) arranged the marriage of his first cousin, Ḍubāʿah bint al-Zubayr ibn ʿAbd al-Muṭṭalib (a noble Hashimite), to al-Miqdād ibn al-Aswad, an allied client (Al-Shawkānī, 2005).

Marriage of Bilāl ibn Rabāḥ: The sister of ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn ʿAwf, one of the elite Qurashite companions, was wedded to Bilāl ibn Rabāḥ, an emancipated Abyssinian companion (Ibn Ḥazm, Al-Muḥallā, Vol. 9, p. 451).

Marriage of Sālim, Mawlā Abī Ḥudhayfah: Abū Ḥudhayfah ibn ʿUtbah ibn Rabīʿah gave his niece, Hind bint al-Walīd ibn ʿUtbah, in marriage to his freedman Sālim (Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Hadith 5088).

4. Scholarly Synthesis and Juristic Weighing (Al-Tarjīḥ)

Upon objective analysis of the textual arguments and counter-critiques, the position of the Majority (Al-Jumhūr)—affirming Kafāʿah as a condition of bindingness (sharṭ luzūm)—emerges as the soundest and most balanced (al-rājiḥ). This synthesis is justified by several considerations:

Hermeneutical Reconciliation (Al-Jam'): The egalitarian Qur'anic texts address ultimate metaphysical standing and reward in the Hereafter. In mundane marital cohabitation, however, empirical disparities in character, culture, and wealth profoundly affect relationship longevity. The Qur'an itself acknowledges earthly gradations in knowledge and status:

قُلْ هَلْ يَسْتَوِي الَّذِينَ يَعْلَمُونَ وَالَّذِينَ لَا يَعْلَمُونَ إِنَّمَا يَتَذَكَّرُ أُولُو الْأَلْبَابِ

"Say, 'Are those who know equal to those who do not know?' Only they will remember who are people of understanding." (Surah Al-Zumar, 39:9)

يَرْفَعُ اللَّهُ الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا مِنْكُمْ وَالَّذِينَ أُوتُوا الْعِلْمَ دَرَجَاتٍ وَاللَّهُ بِمَا تَعْمَلُونَ خَبِيرٌ

"Allah will raise those who have believed among you and those who were given knowledge, by degrees. And Allah is Acquainted with what you do." (Surah Al-Mujādilah, 58:11)

Teleological Harmony: Classifying Kafā'ah as a condition of bindingness (rather than validity) preserves individual autonomy. If a woman and her family choose to overlook social or financial disparities, the contract remains valid. Yet, designating it as a condition of bindingness equips them with legal remedies if deception or coercion occurred.

Section II: Classical Attributes Considered in Marital Equivalence

Classical Muslim jurists differed considerably regarding the substantive attributes constitutive of Kafā'ah, alternating between restrictive and expansive criteria. Table 1 summarizes the distribution of juristic positions across the four Sunni legal schools.

Table 1

Juristic Spectrum of Considered Attributes of Kafā'ah Across Sunni Schools

Attribute	Hana fi School	Maliki School	Shafi 'i School	Hanbal i School	Cons ensus Status
Religiou s Piety (Al-Dīn / Al-Ṣalāḥ)	Consi dered*	Consi dered (Primary)	Cons idered	Consid ered	Unive rsal Agreement
Lineage & Heritage (Al- Nasab)	Consi dered	Not Considered**	Cons idered	Consid ered	Juridic al Divergence
Freed om from Defects	Not Considered	Consi dered	Cons idered	Not Considered***	Schoo l Split (2 vs 2)

Attribute	Hana fi School	Maliki School	Shafi 'i School	Hanbal i School	Cons ensus Status
(Al-Salāmah)					
Solvency / Wealth (Al- Māl)	Consi dered	Diver gent Disputed	Cons idered	Diverg ent Narrations	Major ity Criterion
Professi on / Craft (Al- Ḥirfah)	Consi dered	Not Considered	Cons idered	Consid ered	Subor dinate Criterion

Note. * Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan al-Shaybānī held piety unconsidered unless open immorality is proclaimed. ** Famous Maliki view rejects lineage. *** Ibn Qudāmah notes it grants option of annulment rather than strict Kafā'ah conditionality.

1. Religious Piety and Integrity (Al-Dīn wa-al-Ṣalāh)

Religious uprightness (al-dīn) and piety (al-ṣalāh) represent the foundational attribute of marital equivalence across Muslim scholarship. A corrupt, transgressive man (fāsiq) is legally not an equivalent match for a virtuous, pious Muslim woman (ṣāliḥah). The sole notable qualification was transmitted from the Hanafi jurist Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan al-Shaybānī and al-Ḥasan ibn Ziyād, who posited that a sinner who conceals his transgressions remains an eligible match, and that disparity arises only if he openly flaunts moral corruption. In Al-Muḥiṭ al-Burhānī, Burhān al-Dīn Māzah noted: 'It is related from Imām Abū Yūsuf that if a consumer of intoxicants drinks discreetly and does not wander publicly intoxicated, he remains a suitable match for a righteous woman; but if he drinks openly and behaves drunkenly, he ceases to be her equal.'

Scriptural evidence supporting piety includes:

أَفَمَنْ كَفَرَ أَتَمْوِئُنَا كَمَنْ كَفَرَ أَتَفَاسِقًا أَلَا يَسْتَوُونَ

"Then is one who was a believer like one who was defiantly disobedient? They are not equal." (Surah Al-Sajdah, 32:18)

This is corroborated by the prophetic directive narrated by Abū Hurayrah:

Prophetic Benchmark for Spousal Selection (Sunan al-Tirmidhī)
The Messenger of Allah (ﷺ) commanded: "When there comes to you one with whose religious commitment and moral character you are satisfied, give [your ward] to him in marriage. If you do not do so, there will be fitnah (strife) on the earth and widespread

corruption." (Al-Tirmidhī, Hadith 1084; declared Ḥasan).

2. Lineage and Ancestral Heritage (Al-Nasab)

Jurists diverged significantly regarding lineage. The celebrated position of the Maliki school, alongside one narration from Imām Aḥmad, holds that ancestral lineage is not legally considered. Piety and faith supersede tribal pedigree, substantiated by Surah Al-Ḥujurāt (49:13). Conversely, the Hanafis, Shafi'is, and mainstream Hanbalis incorporated lineage into Kafā'ah, arguing that tribal parity shields noble lineages from social distress. They cited early historical customs wherein Qurashites were deemed peerless, followed by non-Qurashite Arabs, and then non-Arab clients (Mawālī).

3. Freedom from Physical and Mental Defects (Al-Salāmah min al-'Uyūb)

The Maliki and Shafi'i schools consider freedom from severe marital defects—such as insanity (junūn), leprosy (judhām), vitiligo/leukoderma (baraṣ), and venereal conditions preventing intimacy (ratq, qarn, 'unnah, jabb)—as constitutive attributes of Kafā'ah. In contrast, classical Hanafis and Hanbalis categorized these defects as independent legal grounds granting unilateral marital cancellation (khiyār al-'ayb), rather than intrinsic attributes of Kafā'ah. As Ibn Qudāmah clarified in Al-Mughnī (1997): 'Freedom from defects is not strictly a condition of Kafā'ah, for failure thereof does not void the contract per se; rather, it establishes a personal right of annulment for the woman, and her guardian may intervene to prevent her union with a leper or madman.'

4. Financial Solvency and Capacity (Al-Māl wa-al-Yasār)

Jurists who consider financial capacity limit its scope to the suitor's ability to provide the immediate prompt dower (mahr mu'ajjal) and ongoing marital maintenance (nafaqah). When Fāṭimah bint Qays consulted the Prophet (ﷺ) regarding suitors, he counseled: "As for Mu'āwiyah, he is a destitute man possessing no wealth..." (Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Hadith 1480). This observation confirms that financial destitution hampers marital stability. Conversely, jurists who reject financial status argue that excessive wealth is not an intrinsic virtue, citing hadith warnings against materialism.

5. Profession, Trade, and Craft (Al-Ḥirfah wa-al-Ṣan'ah)

The Shafi'is, later Hanafis, and one narration from Aḥmad considered professional parity, deeming lowly or socially stigmatized occupations in antiquity (such as bloodletting, tanning, or scavenging) incompatible with daughters of merchant nobility. In contrast, the formal Maliki and early Hanbali positions rejected trade distinctions. Imām al-Sarakhsī noted in Al-Mabsūṭ: 'A profession is not an immutable personal attribute; an individual may practice a modest trade today and acquire an elevated craft tomorrow, unlike lineage which remains permanent.'

Section III: Contemporary Manifestations of Marital Equivalence

A foundational maxim of Islamic legal theory dictates: 'Rulings anchored in customary conventions change with the transformation of customs' (Taghayyur al-Aḥkām bi-Taghayyur al-Azmān wa-al-Amkinah). While religious piety remains immutable across eras, secular dimensions of Kafā'ah are largely governed by societal custom ('urf). In modern life, societal urbanization, widespread education, and medical advances have produced new criteria for marital compatibility.

1. Premarital Health Screening and Freedom from Transmissible Pathologies

Modern medicine has identified dangerous infectious and hereditary conditions—such as HIV/AIDS, Hepatitis B and C, syphilis, and genetic hemoglobinopathies (thalassemia, sickle-cell disease)—that undermine intimacy, deplete domestic financial resources, or endanger offspring. Jurists today analogize these conditions to classical defects like leprosy. Conditioning marriage on premarital medical screening (faḥṣ ṭibbī qabl al-zawāj) constitutes a legitimate legal application of the legal maxim 'Harm shall neither be inflicted nor reciprocated' (Lā ḍarar wa-lā ḍirār) (Al-Qarahdāghī & Al-Muḥammadī, 2006).

2. Intellectual, Ideological, and Cultural Compatibility

In contemporary interconnected societies, intellectual compatibility has become vital. Severe ideological polarization between spouses—such as radical doctrinal divergence, clashing worldviews, or conflicting attitudes toward religious observance—often paralyzes domestic life. Dr. Muṣṭafā Benḥamzah notes that while basic shared faith establishes the baseline validity of marriage, acute ideological divergence can undermine domestic harmony. Where profound intellectual hostility threatens marital peace, equivalence in ideological orientation must be respected.

3. Chronological Age Harmony and Educational Parity

Classical scholars like al-Rūyānī observed: 'An elderly man is not an equivalent match for a young maiden, nor is an ignorant man a match for a learned woman' (Baḥr al-Madḥhab). In modern contexts, this principle applies to substantial age disparities and educational mismatches. Significant generation gaps often reflect conflicting cognitive orientations and emotional desires, elevating divorce risks. Furthermore, with Muslim women achieving advanced university degrees and entering professional careers, marrying an illiterate or unsupportive spouse can provoke domestic tension. Customary expectations legitimately incorporate educational and intellectual compatibility into contemporary assessments of Kafā'ah.

The prominent contemporary jurist Muṣṭafā al-Shalabī summarized this dynamic:

Evolution of Customary Dimensions of Kafā'ah (Muṣṭafā al-Shalabī)
 "The revealed texts did not establish exhaustive secular criteria for Kafā'ah; rather,

classical jurists deduced specific attributes based upon the customary norms ('urf) prevalent in their epochs, aiming primarily to ward off social disgrace from the woman and her guardians. Therefore, contemporary jurisprudence must not freeze these attributes at ancient cultural conventions. Instead, Kafā'ah revolves dynamically with newly emergent customary parameters—such as convergence in chronological age and cultural attainment—because profound disparities between spouses render contemporary marital life inherently unstable." (Al-Shalabī, 1983, p. 164)

Conclusion and Key Research Findings

This investigation yields several fundamental conclusions and jurisprudential insights:

- **1. Teleological Integration:** The legal doctrine of Kafā'ah is not an instrument of social stratification; rather, it is designed to secure the higher purposes of Islamic family law—cultivating enduring psychological peace (sakīnah), mutual affection (mawaddah), and marital longevity.
- **2. Balanced Legal Status:** The soundest scholarly position is that of the Majority (Al-Jumhūr), classifying Kafā'ah as a condition of bindingness (sharṭ luzūm). This balance honors the autonomy of consenting adults while protecting families against deception and coercion.
- **3. Primacy of Character:** Religious uprightness, moral integrity, and pious devotion remain the sole immutable, universal criteria of Kafā'ah. Secular attributes—lineage, financial solvency, and profession—remain subordinate and context-dependent.
- **4. Evolution of Customary Attributes:** In modern societies, evolving custom ('urf) has introduced critical dimensions of marital equivalence, including certified premarital medical fitness, ideological harmony, and educational parity.
- **5. Dynamic Customary Adaptation:** Jurisprudential assessments of marital equivalence must not remain anchored to archaic medieval customs. They must adapt to contemporary social norms, provided they operate within authentic Sharī'ah boundaries.

References

- 'Abd al-Razzāq, A. B. (1983). *Al-Muṣannaf [The categorized collection]* (Ḥ. al-A'zamī, Ed.). Al-Majlis al-'Ilmī.
- Abū Dāwūd, S. A. (1998). *Sunan Abī Dāwūd [The traditions of Abū Dāwūd]*. Bayt al-Afkār al-Dawliyyah.
- Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal. (1999). *Musnad al-Imām Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal [The hadith compendium of Imām Aḥmad]* (S. al-Arnā'ūṭ et al., Eds.; 2nd ed.). Mu'assasat al-Risālah.

- Al-Ardabili, Y. I. (2004). *Al-Anwār li-a'māl al-abrār [The illuminating lights for the deeds of the righteous]* (K. M. al-Muṭṭlaq & H. 'A. al-'Alī, Eds.). Dār al-Ḍiyā'.
- Al-Bayhaqī, A. H. (2003a). *Al-Sunan al-kubrā [The major compendium of prophetic traditions]* (M. 'A. 'Aṭā, Ed.; 3rd ed.). Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah.
- Al-Bayhaqī, A. H. (2003b). *Shu'ab al-īmān [Branches of faith]* ('A. 'A. Ḥāmid, Ed.). Maktabat al-Rushd.
- Al-Bukhārī, M. I. (1987). *Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī [The authentic collection of al-Bukhārī]* (M. D. al-Bughā, Ed.; 3rd ed.). Dār Ibn Kathīr.
- Al-Kāsānī, 'A. D. (1986). *Badā'i' al-ṣanā'i' fī tartīb al-sharā'i' [Marvels of craftsmanship in systemic jurisprudence]* (2nd ed.). Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah.
- Al-Māwardī, 'A. M. (1999). *Al-Ḥāwī al-kabīr fī fiqh madhhab al-Imām al-Shāfi'ī [The grand comprehensive compendium in Shafi'i jurisprudence]* ('A. M. Mu'awwaḍ & 'A. A. 'Abd al-Mawjūd, Eds.). Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah.
- Al-Mardāwī, 'A. S. (1998). *Al-Inṣāf fī ma'rifat al-rājiḥ min al-khilāf 'alā madhhab al-Imām Aḥmad [Fair arbitration on divergent opinions in the Hanbali school]* (1st ed.). Dār Iḥyā' al-Turāth al-'Arabī.
- Al-Nawawī, Y. S. (2000). *Al-Majmū' sharḥ al-Muhadhdhab [The total compendium: Commentary on al-Muhadhdhab]*. Maktabat al-Irshād.
- Al-Qarāfī, A. I. (1994). *Al-Dhakhīrah [The rich repository in Maliki jurisprudence]* (M. Ḥajjī, Ed.). Dār al-Gharb al-Islāmī.
- Al-Qarahdāghī, 'A. M., & Al-Muḥammadī, 'A. Y. (2006). *Fiqh al-qaḍāyā al-ṭibbiyyah al-mu'āṣirah [Jurisprudence of contemporary bioethical and medical issues]*. Dār al-Bashā'ir al-Islāmiyyah.
- Al-Rūyānī, 'A. B. (2009). *Baḥr al-madhhab fī furū' al-madhhab al-Shāfi'ī [The ocean of the school in Shafi'i substantive law]*. Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah.
- Al-Sarakhsī, M. A. (1993). *Al-Mabsūṭ [The extended compendium in Hanafi law]*. Dār al-Ma'rifah.
- Al-Shāfi'ī, M. I. (2001). *Kitāb al-Umm [The foundational treatise]* (R. F. 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib, Ed.). Dār al-Wafā'.
- Al-Shalabī, M. M. (1983). *Aḥkām al-usrah fī al-Islām [Family law rulings in Islam]* (4th ed.). Al-Dār al-Jāmi'iyyah.
- Al-Shawkānī, M. 'A. (2005). *Nayl al-awṭār min aḥādīth sayyid al-akhyār [Attaining objectives through traditions of the Prophet]*. Dār Ibn al-Qayyim.

- Al-Tirmidhī, M. 'Ī. (1998). *Sunan al-Tirmidhī [The collection of al-Tirmidhī]* (B. 'A. Ma'rūf, Ed.). Dār al-Gharb al-Islāmī.
- Al-Zuhaylī, W. (1985). *Al-Fiqh al-Islāmī wa-adillatuh [Islamic jurisprudence and its legal proofs]* (2nd ed.). Dār al-Fikr.
- Benḥamzah, M. (2006, July 22). *Al-Sharī'ah wa-al-Ḥayāt: Contemporary marital issues* [Television broadcast interview]. Al Jazeera Media Network. <http://www.aljazeera.net>
- Burhān al-Dīn Māzah, M. 'A. (2004). *Al-Muḥīṭ al-burhānī fī al-fiqh al-Nu'mānī [The comprehensive ocean in Hanafī jurisprudence]* ('A. K. S. al-Jundī, Ed.). Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah.
- Ibn 'Ābidīn, M. A. (1992). *Radd al-muḥtār 'alā al-durr al-mukhtār [The response to the perplexed on the chosen pearl]* (2nd ed.). Dār al-Fikr.
- Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Y. 'A. (1988). *Al-Tamhīd li-mā fī al-Muwaṭṭa' min al-ma'ānī wa-al-asānīd [The facilitation to the meanings and chains in al-Muwaṭṭa']* (M. A. al-'Alawī, Ed.). Mu'assasat al-Qurṭubah.
- Ibn Ḥajar al-'Asqalānī, A. 'A. (1989). *Al-Talkhīṣ al-ḥabīr fī takhrīj aḥādīth al-Rāfi' al-kabīr [The elegant summary of hadith authentications]*. Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah.
- Ibn Ḥazm, 'A. A. (1933). *Al-Muḥallā bi-al-āthār [The adorned treatise with traditions]*. Al-Maṭba'ah al-Muniriyyah.
- Ibn Kathīr, I. 'U. (1999). *Tafsīr al-Qur'ān al-'Aẓīm [Exegesis of the grand Qur'an]* (S. M. Salāmah, Ed.). Dār Ṭaybah.
- Ibn Nujaym, Z. I. (1997). *Al-Baḥr al-rā'iq sharḥ Kanz al-daqā'iq [The clear sea: Commentary on the treasure of subtleties]*. Dār al-Ma'rifah.
- Ibn Qudāmah, 'A. A. (1997). *Al-Mughnī [The enriching compendium in Hanbali law]* ('A. M. al-Turkī & 'A. F. M. al-Ḥilw, Eds.; 3rd ed.). Dār 'Ālam al-Kutub.
- Ibn Rushd, M. A. (1988). *Al-Bayān wa-al-taḥṣīl [The exposition and compilation in legal reasoning]* (M. Ḥajjī et al., Eds.). Dār al-Gharb al-Islāmī.
- Muslim ibn al-Ḥajjāj. (1998). *Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim [The authentic collection of Muslim]* (A. Ş. al-Karmī, Ed.). Bayt al-Afkār al-Dawliyyah.